APPLICATION	NO: 15/00326/CONDIT	OFFICER: Mr Martin Chandler				
DATE REGIST	ERED: 10th March 2015	DATE OF EXPIRY : 5th May 2015				
WARD: Charlto	on Park	PARISH: CHARLK				
APPLICANT:	Rob Deacon Construction Ltd					
LOCATION:	Barrington Lodge Nursing Home, 138 Cirencester Road, Charlton Kings					
PROPOSAL:	Variation of condition 2 on planning permission 14/02133/FUL alteration to design					

REPRESENTATIONS

Number of contributors	3
Number of objections	2
Number of representations	1
Number of supporting	0

Rose Farm Stockwell Lane Woodmancote Cheltenham GL52 9QE

Comments: 2nd April 2015 Letter attached.

Comments: 14th April 2015 Letter attached.

Comments: 12th May 2015 Letter attached.

Brierton Cottage Bafford Lane Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL53 8DR

Comments: 16th April 2015 Letter attached.

Comments: 28th April 2015 Letter attached. 4 Bafford Approach Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL53 9HJ

Comments: 6th May 2015

I have had the opportunity to consider the revised plans. I note, in relation to Plot 4, the first floor window pointing directly into the rear of my home remains in situ.

The window will adversely affect private amenities which I currently enjoy. This is because the prospective occupiers will be able to overlook, and monitor, all private activities taking place within the ground floor lounge and kitchen, and my rear garden. This will inevitably be intrusive and overbearing, and is unreasonable.

May I respectfully suggest that the Plot 4 first floor window is either re-positioned to the north-east side of the proposed dwelling, or the glass is frosted.

I wish to emphasise that, were the window to be re-positioned as I suggest, it would have no material effect on any other adjacent properties on Cirencester Road or, indeed, Brierton Cottage.

1 April 2015

For the attention of: Mr M Chandler

The Built Environment Cheltenham Borough Council PO Box 12 Municipal Offices Promenade Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL50 1PP

E-Mail and Post

BUILT

ENVIRONMENT

Read • 2 APR 2015

Dear Mr Chandler

<u>15 / 00326 / CONDIT – Variation of Condition 2 on Planning Permission 14 / 02133 / FUL – Alteration to design at Barrington Lodge Nursing Home, 138 Cirencester Road, Charlton Kings</u>

We are very disappointed to note that the Applicant has made a partly retrospective application to alter the design of the approved development for this site. This is retrospective, insofar as a basement shown on the altered design has already been completed. This is also an application to significantly change the size of the development and, therefore, we fail to see how this is merely a Variation of Condition, and should be treated as if it is a complete new application.

Notwithstanding our comments above, our comments on the proposed changes to Plot 2 are as follows:

- 1. The insertion of a large basement in the centre of the unit has the effect of raising the ground floor and, therefore, first floor, and roof level above, by .85 metres. This in turn increases the massing and bulk of the unit, and removes the stepped roof line. This is detrimental to the appearance of the unit and increases the impact on 46 Bafford Lane.
- 2. Furthermore, aligning the bedroom walls with the ground-floor walls to the north and west elevations, brings the development, at first-floor level, some 1.2 metres closer to our Client's property, No. 46 Bafford Lane. This is particularly pertinent, as we have already noted that the approved plan, and in fact what is being constructed on site, is already .8 metres closer to No. 46 Bafford Lane, than the submitted plan, Reference: 2104 / 34 / 102. Not only did Drawing No. 2104 / 34 / 012D (the Approved Plan) have additional levels, but it also relocated Plot 2 closer to our Client's property and further to the south increasing its impact on 46 Bafford Lane. To permit this alteration would allow complete domination of the east side of the property with a wall higher than the ridge of 46 Bafford Lane, some 7m long and within 2m of the boundary. The whole point of the step backs proposed at first floor and roof level was to reduce the impact on 46 Bafford Lane and reduce the bulk of this large flat sided house.



- 3. Great emphasis was placed in the Applicant's own Design & Access Statement, and the planning report recommending Approval, of the levels, massing, and impact on neighbouring properties, including similar comments from the Architect's panel. It would appear that all of those observations and comments could now be completely ignored.
- 4. Although omitted from the letter from the Smith Hotchen & Partnership to the Planning Department, dated 20 February 2015, a window has been inserted in the Plot 2 principal bedroom, facing in a southerly direction, whereas there was no window in this position on the Approved Scheme., This will give oblique views over our Client's property, as well as views into Plot 1, and there is no necessity for this.
- 5. We indicated our support for the scheme as proposed in Planning Application 14 / 02133 / FUL, because what was suggested appeared reasonable and tolerable. The proposed amendments are unreasonable.

To summarise, we consider this a significant variation to the scheme, well outside the original design and planning considerations and with such a detrimental impact on 46 Bafford Lane that it should be refused.

Yours sincerely

cc Mr T & Mrs M Barter Ms L Barter Cllr P Baker Mr M Levick

9 April 2015

Mr. M Redman Director – Built Environment Cheltenham Borough Council PO Box 12 Municipal Offices Promenade Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL50 1PP

1 0 APR 2015

Post and E-mail

Dear Mr Redman

<u>15 / 00326 / CONDIT – Variation of Condition 2 on Planning Permission 14 / 02133 / FUL – Alteration to design at Barrington Lodge Nursing Home, 138 Cirencester Road, Charlton Kings</u>

Our client and ourselves have concluded we have no option but to write to you with regard to the manner in which the development Plot 2 Barrington Lodge site, which adjoins our client's property 46 Bafford Lane is being carried out. We enclose a copy of our letter to Mr. Chandler of 1 April.

We first noted the construction of the basement beneath the family room of Plot 2 on 4 March, by which time the basement walls were almost complete and light wells dug at each end. We sent a letter by e-mail and post, addressed to Mr. Chandler on 5 March. On 9 March Dan Lewis acknowledged our letter and confirmed "the site would be visited to asses against approved plans". Please advise us when the site has been visited and the conclusions drawn from the inspection. Of particular concern to us when assessing this development's impact on 46 Bafford Lane were the proximity of the development to 46 Bafford Lane and the levels of floors and roofs since the development is located on substantially higher ground. This was specifically referred to in Mr. Chandler's Planning Report which makes specific reference to levels.

On 10 March, we received a telephone message from Mr. Levick, who advised us that a Variation to a Planning Application had been received on 23 February, but had not yet been validated. Mr Levick confirmed that this was to be validated so that we could see it and make comments. Mr Levick confirmed this in an e-mail.

We checked the Cheltenham Borough Council Planning website every other day for the application, but none appeared. On 18 March we sent a further e-mail to Mr. Levick regarding progress on site and the fact that no details of the construction of a basement or other alterations to the scheme had appeared on the website. We received no response. Mr. Basnett telephoned Mr. Levick on 24 March to enquire as to the position, and was advised that he was away until 25 March, and left a message for Mr. Levick to call. Mr. Levick left a message on 25 March to say that the application should be on the website, although he had not checked, and that Martin Chandler as "Development Team leader has self-assigned the case to him".



Regulated by RICS Reg. in England & Wales No. 04797407 Reg. Office: Carrick House, Lypiatt Road, Cheltenham GL50 2QJ Please tell us the reasons why the application lodged on 23 February was not validated until 26 March thus denying us and other interested parties the opportunity to consider the revised plans and to comment on them.

It seems clear to us that if it was not for our indication that works on site were deviating from the planning consent granted, we would not have been advised of these variations. In view of the sensitivity of the site and the impact on 46 Bafford Lane this is not acceptable.

We believe the ground works to construct the basement and light wells commenced at least two weeks before the application for variation was lodged on February 23 which would be within 10 days of permission being granted on 26 January.

Clearly, works are progressing rapidly on site in contravention of the planning consent granted and these variations are not minor, but significant in relation to the concerns expressed initially about this development.

We are also concerned to note the Approved Proposed Ground Floor Block Plan 2104/34/102D stated as having additional levels is not the same as the drawing (2104/34/102) we were asked to comment on in the planning consultation process when we expressed our support for the scheme. The Approved Plan shows Plot 2 nearly 1m closer to our client's property and re-located to have greater impact on the rear garden. Would you please advise how this came about? Clearly this affects our comments on the amended plan since the adjustment compounds our initial concerns.

Mr. Basnett would be pleased to meet you and Mr. Levick on site with a view to resolving our concerns.

Yours sincerely



cc Mr T & Mrs M Barter Ms L Barter Cllr P Baker Mr M Levick Mr. M Chandler

1 April 2015

For the attention of: Mr M Chandler

The Built Environment Cheltenham Borough Council PO Box 12 Municipal Offices Promenade Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL50 1PP BUILT 1 0 APR 2015

E-Mail and Post

Dear Mr Chandler

<u>15 / 00326 / CONDIT – Variation of Condition 2 on Planning Permission 14 / 02133 / FUL – Alteration to design at Barrington Lodge Nursing Home, 138 Cirencester Road, Charlton Kings</u>

We are very disappointed to note that the Applicant has made a partly retrospective application to alter the design of the approved development for this site. This is retrospective, insofar as a basement shown on the altered design has already been completed. This is also an application to significantly change the size of the development and, therefore, we fail to see how this is merely a Variation of Condition, and should be treated as if it is a complete new application.

Notwithstanding our comments above, our comments on the proposed changes to Plot 2 are as follows:

- 1. The insertion of a large basement in the centre of the unit has the effect of raising the ground floor and, therefore, first floor, and roof level above, by .85 metres. This in turn increases the massing and bulk of the unit, and removes the stepped roof line. This is detrimental to the appearance of the unit and increases the impact on 46 Bafford Lane.
- 2. Furthermore, aligning the bedroom walls with the ground-floor walls to the north and west elevations, brings the development, at first-floor level, some 1.2 metres closer to our Client's property, No. 46 Bafford Lane. This is particularly pertinent, as we have already noted that the approved plan, and in fact what is being constructed on site, is already .8 metres closer to No. 46 Bafford Lane, than the submitted plan, Reference: 2104 / 34 / 102. Not only did Drawing No. 2104 / 34 / 012D (the Approved Plan) have additional levels, but it also relocated Plot 2 closer to our Client's property and further to the south increasing its impact on 46 Bafford Lane. To permit this alteration would allow complete domination of the east side of the property with a wall higher than the ridge of 46 Bafford Lane, some 7m long and within 2m of the boundary. The whole point of the step backs proposed at first floor and roof level was to reduce the impact on 46 Bafford Lane and reduce the bulk of this large flat sided house.



Rose Farm Stockwell Lane Woodmancote Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL52 9QE

- 3. Great emphasis was placed in the Applicant's own Design & Access Statement, and the planning report recommending Approval, of the levels, massing, and impact on neighbouring properties, including similar comments from the Architect's panel. It would appear that all of those observations and comments could now be completely ignored.
- 4. Although omitted from the letter from the Smith Hotchen & Partnership to the Planning Department, dated 20 February 2015, a window has been inserted in the Plot 2 principal bedroom, facing in a southerly direction, whereas there was no window in this position on the Approved Scheme. This will give oblique views over our Client's property, as well as views into Plot 1, and there is no necessity for this.
- 5. We indicated our support for the scheme as proposed in Planning Application 14 / 02133 / FUL, because what was suggested appeared reasonable and tolerable. The proposed amendments are unreasonable.

To summarise, we consider this a significant variation to the scheme, well outside the original design and planning considerations and with such a detrimental impact on 46 Bafford Lane that it should be refused.

Yours sincerely

cc Mr I & Mrs M Barter

Ms L Barter Cllr P Baker Mr M Levick



30 April 2015

For the attention of: Mr M Chandler

The Built Environment Cheltenham Borough Council PO Box 12 Municipal Offices Promenade Cheltenham Gloucestershire GL50 1PP

Dear Mr Chandler

<u>15 / 00326 / CONDIT – Variation of Condition 2 on Planning Permission 14 / 02133 / FUL –</u> <u>Alteration to Design at Barrington Lodge Nursing Home, 138 Cirencester Road, Charlton Kings</u>

Further to the discussion with Richard Basnett on Friday, 24 April, we note that further amended drawings have now been deposited in respect of the above. In particular we refer to Drawing No. 2015 / 15 / 105C - Proposed Plot 2, dated March 2015.

We note that the basement constructed under the centre of the plot is shown as retained. We have no objection to this in principle, but would make the comment that this does affect the massing of the building and the heights of part of the roof. We note that the first-floor east bedroom whilst greater in size than that approved on Drawing No. 2014 / 34 / 105, is now smaller than that originally submitted in respect of this variation. This has been achieved by decreasing the setback from the north elevation and decreasing the setback from the west elevation. However, we note that the setback from the west elevation is still less than approved, and this clearly affects adversely 46 Bafford Lane. We note that the window inserted in the south elevation has now become an en-suite shower room window, and is to be obscure glazed.

In terms of the plan shape of the first floor, as far as 46 Bafford Lane is concerned this is an improvement over the initial amended scheme. However, this has to be read in conjunction with levels. The levels on the drawings, and in particular Section 3-3, are very misleading. The same level has been indicated on the external ground level as finished floor level, although they are clearly different. In addition the ground floor outline is misstated on the first floor plan and a roof light has been inserted which does not feature on the elevations but adds further to the increased height of the centre section which has been raised to accommodate the basement.

On the approved drawing, Drawing No. 2014 / 34 / 102D – Proposed Block Plan, Ground Floor, the difference in level between finished floor level of Plot 2, and finished floor level of 46 Bafford Lane, is clearly indicated at 1.1 metres, and this is referred to in the report recommending approval of the scheme. In fact, at present, the difference in the slab level (not finished floor level) between 46 Bafford Lane and Plot 2, is 1.325 metres. It would appear that this would increase to 1.475 metres





Reg. in England & Wales No. 04797407 Reg. Office: Carriek House, Lypiatt Road, Cheltenham GL50 2QJ

once the slab at Plot 2 is finished, and this will in turn have an impact upon roof level and the massing and dominance of Plot 2 over 46 Bafford Lane.

If this amendment is to be permitted, therefore, we would suggest to mitigate the adverse effect on 46 Bafford Lane, that the roof height is reduced to that alreday permitted and this could be achieved, by reducing ceiling heights to the living room and certainly to the bedroom. This was something alluded to in our conversation last week but has not been shown on the drawings. There is plenty of scope for this as ceiling heights are very high with a ground floor level to first floor level indicated as 3.025m and a first floor to roof level indicated at 3.075m. This would also have the beneficial effect of breaking up what is a massive rectangular block.

We are concerned, and you confirmed this last Friday, that there is no effective monitoring in place with regard to this development, in terms of setting out and levels. In view of the sensitivity of surrounding properties, it is imperative that this is put in place.

We have incurred considerable costs in bringing these matters to the local authority's attention. In view of what is happening on Plot 2, we are very concerned of a similar situation developing in relation to Plot 1, and we would ask that this development is carefully monitored and that the development is carried out in accordance with the approval, which we were supportive of.

Again we would offer to meet on site to discuss these matters.

Yours sincerely



Cllr P Baker

2

Date 14th April 2015

Planning Department

Brienon Couage Bafford Lane Charlton Kings Cheltenham Glos GL53 8DR

> BUILT Read 1 5 APR 2015 ENVIRONMENT

Municipal Offices Promenade Cheltenham Glos GL50 1PP

Cheltenham Borough Council

Dear Sirs

Re: Variation of condition 2 of Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL by Proposed Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT, further to receiving notification of Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT, by letter from the Planning Department, dated the 25th March 2015, although the Application was made by letter, to the Planning Department, by the Applicant's Architect's on the 20th February 2015.

We would be grateful if the Planning Department would take into consideration, the point's we raised in our letter's to the Planning Department of the 29th December 2014, the 14 and 19th January 2015 together with our letter's of the 4th February and 17th February 2015, concerning Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL, in view of the proposed variation of Condition 2, of Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL under Proposed Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT.

We would also be grateful if the Planning Department would take into consideration the following point's concerning Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT.

1. In reference to Plot 3, there has been a basement added, which was not shown on the Approved Drawings of Plot 3 to Planning Application 14/02133/FUL, (which has now been completed at the end of March 2015) and shown on Drawing 2015/02/106B of Proposed Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT, as a variation of Plot 3.

There has also been added to drawing 2015/02/106B to Plot 3 of Proposed Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT, two protruding basement shafts, to be built out from the existing foundation walls to the basement of Plot 3, to let light into the basement area, on the elevation facing the Tall Trees within the boundary of Brierton Cottage.

Therefore in reference to Drawing 2015/02/106B and 2015/02/102C of Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT, we have already stated in our previous letter's to the Planning Department concerning Approved Planning Continued Page 2 Page 2 Date. 14th April 2015

Brierton Cottage

Bafford Lane Charlton Kings Cheltenham Glos GL53 8DR

Planning Department Cheltenham Borough Council Municipal Offices Promenade Cheltenham Glos GL50 1PP

Application 14/02133/FUL, that the Applicant must have been aware that he has positioned Plot 3, close to the Tall Trees within the Boundary of Brierton Cottage and we will not be responsible in the future for any loss of light to this property and now that the Applicant has added a further basement to Plot 3, under Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT, we again state that we will not be responsible for any loss of light to the basement windows to the proposed basement of Plot 3., due to the Applicant positioning the basement windows of Plot 3 close to the existing Tall Trees within the boundary of Brierton Cottage, which were there before this application was submitted and we will therefore not be forced by the Applicant or any future owner of Plot 3 or Plot 2 to remove the Tall Trees within the boundary of Brierton Cottage, due to any loss of light to the window's of Plot 3 of the proposed basement windows of Plot 3, or the windows of Plot 2, in reference to the Variation of Condition 2 of Approved Application 14/02133/FUL by Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT

We would also make the Applicant Robert Deacon and his company Robert Deacon Builders Limited and the future owners of Plot 2 and Plot 3 aware. that we will not be responsible for any future Insurance Liability for damage or injury caused to any third party, including employees or subcontractors of Robert Deacon Builders Limited during construction of Plot 2 and Plot 3 or the future owners who buy Plot 2 and Plot 3, when bought from Robert Deacon Builders Limited, which may be caused by the Tall Trees because of any subsidence or land slip of the boundary of Brierton Cottage, on to the land of Plots 2 and 3, in reference to proposed the Variation of Condition 2 of Approved Planning Application 14/021/33/FUL by Proposed Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT, due to Applicant Robert Deacon and his company Robert Deacon Builders Limited excavating deep excavations close to the boundary of Brierton Cottage and undermining the roots of the Tall Trees, within the boundary of Brierton Cottage, including undermining of the fence and boundary wall adjacent to Plot 2 and the fence adjacent to Plot 3, which are owned by Brierton Cottage and due to Robert Deacon Builders Limited not building a retaining wall, which is needed due the difference in levels that now exist due to the construction of the basement and foundations to Plots 2 and 3 between the boundary of Brierton Cottage and the retaining wall should have been built before the foundations and basement's to Plots 2 and 3 were constructed, but due to the foundations of Plots 2 and 3 being positioned so close to the boundary of Brierton Cottage.

Continued Page 3

Page 3 Date 14th April 2015

> Brierton Cottage Bafford Lane Charlton Kings Cheltenham Glos GL53 8DR

Planning Department Cheltenham Borough Council Municipal Offices Promenade Cheltenham Glos GL50 1PP

This in turn has left no room between the boundary of Brierton Cottage and the foundations and basement's to Plots 2 and 3, for a retaining wall to be built to support the boundary of Brierton Cottage and this undermining without proper future support to the boundary of Brierton Cottage, may cause future land slip or subsidence of the land, including the fences, boundary wall and the Tall Trees of Brierton Cottage on to the land of Plot 2 and Plot 3, and the Applicant Robert Deacon and his company Robert Deacon Builders Limited should have been aware of that proper support to the boundary of Brierton Cottage when constructing the foundations of Plots 2 and 3 in reference to the Variation of Condition 2 of Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL, by Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT, further to Applicant Robert Deacon and his company Robert Deacon Builders Limited completing the foundations to Plot 2 and Plot 3, between the period from the 26th January 2015, when planning approval was given to Planning Application 14/02133/FUL and the end of March 2015.

2. It must be noted that the Applicant has already completed work, which has not been Approved under existing Condition 2 of Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL, in reference to Plot 2, adjacent to 46 Bafford Lane and Plot 3 adjacent to Brierton Cottage and we would be grateful, as we are sure other surrounding property owner's to this development would be, that if there are any other future variations of Condition 2 or any other condition's relating to the original Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL, dated the 26th January 2015, that the surrounding property owner's are notified in writing sconer of a new planning application, which has not happened in this case of planning application 15/00326/CONDIT, has already been completed, which therefore mean's making a written representation concerning the Proposed Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT almost irrelevant., within the planning process.

Yours sincerely

Date. 25th April 2015



Brierton Cottage Bafford Lane Charlton Kings Cheltenham Glos GL53 8DR

Planning Department Cheltenham Borough Council Municipal Offices Promenade Cheltenham Glos GL50 1PP

Dear Sirs

Re: Variation of Condition 2 of Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL by Proposed Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT, further to receiving notification of Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT by letter from the Planning Department, dated 25th March 2015, although the Application was made to the Planning Department, by the Applicant's Architect's on the 20th February 2015.

We write further to our letter to the Planning Department of the 14th April 2015 and we would be grateful if the Planning Department would take into consideration the following point's concerning Planning Application 15/00325/CONDIT.

1 Application Form's completed by the Architect on behalf of the Applicant in relation to Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL and Proposed Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT...

We have noted that in Box 1 of completed Application Form for Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL, that it states the company of the Applicant is Robert Deacon Builders Ltd, which we have checked is registered at Companies House, although in Box 1 of completed Application Form for Proposed Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT it states that the company of the Applicant is Rob Deacon Construction Ltd, which is not registered at Companies House, which is therefore misleading the Planning Department and those who have made written representations concerning Proposed Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT.

The Planning Department can verify that Rob Deacon Construction Ltd does not exist at Companies House, by either telephoning them, which we have done, to verify that this company does not exist, or by using the Companies House Website.

Therefore, in view of our letter to the Planning Department on the 14th April 2015, concerning the variation of Condition 2 of Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL, by Proposed Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT, we assume unless otherwise stated in writing to the Estate of Mrs M S Gallagher, that the content's of our letter of the 14th April 2015 is both is relevant to Mr R Deacon of Robert Deacon Builders Ltd, which is a registered company, concerning Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL and Mr Rob Deacon of Rob Deacon Construction Ltd, which is not registered at Companies House, concerning Proposed Planning Application. 15/00326/CONDIT Continued Page 2

Page 2 Date 25th April 2015

> Brierton Cottage Bafford Lane Charlton Kings

Cheltenham Glos GL53 8DR

Planning Department Cheltenham Borough Council Municipal Offices Promenade Cheltenham Glos GL50 1PP

In view of what we have said in this letter, we do think because of the legal implications, relating to the written representations that we have made together with other interested parties, that the Planning Department should ask the Applicant to re-submit his Proposed Planning Application of 15/00326/CONDIT of the 20th February 2015, stating the correct registered company of Robert Deacon Builders Ltd, that he used when the Applicant made his original Approved Planning Application of 14/02133/FUL of the 27th November 2014.

We enclose for your reference, a copy of the first and last page of the completed Application Form by the Applicant in relation to Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL, showing on the first page the name of the registered company at Companies House of the Applicant, as being Robert Deacon Builders Ltd in Box 1 and on the last page in box 25, stating the completion of Ownership and the date of the Application as being 27th November 2014

We also enclose your reference, a copy of the first and last page of completed Application Form by the Applicant in relation to Proposed Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT, showing on the first page the name of the company of the Applicant as being Rob Deacon Construction Ltd, which does not exist at Companies House and in Box 1 and on the last page in Box 9, it does not ask for confirmation of ownership in this Box, because Planning Application 15/00326/CONDIT is a Variation of a Condition following a grant of permission (therefore Approved Planning Application 14/02133/FUL) and it states that only a Declaration is to be made by the Applicant, that any facts stated are true and accurate and any opinions given are the opinions of the person(s) giving them, and this Declaration is dated the 20th February 2015.





Built Environment Cheltenham Borough Council Municipal offices, Promenade, Cheltenham, GL50 9SA

builtenvironment@cheltenham.gov.uk phone: 01242 264328 fax: 01242 227323

Application for Planning Permission. Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Publication of applications on planning authority websites.

Please note that the information provided on this application form and in supporting documents may be published on the Authority's website. If you require any further clarification, please contact the Authority's planning department.

1. Applicant Name, Address and Contact Details							
Title: Mr	First name:	R	Sumame: De	acon			
Company name	Robert Deacon Bu	ilders Ltd			<u> </u>		
Street address:	C/O Unit 8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Country Code	National Number	Extension Number	
	Berkeley Mews	······	Telephone number:				
	29 High Street		Mobile number:	[
Town/City	Cheltenham			r			
County:	Glos		Fax number:]	
Country:	United Kingdom		Email address:				
Postcode:	GL50 1DY						
Are you an agent a	cting on behalf of th	ne applicant? (• Yes	C No				
2. Agent Name	e, Address and	Contact Details					
Title: Mr	First Name:	Andrew	Surname: Sm	lth			
Company name:	The Smith Hotche	n Partnership],				
Street address:	8 Berkely Mews 2	9 High Street		Country Code	National Number	Extension Number	
			Telephone number:		01242245273	<u> </u>	
			Mobile number:	[07831 188065		
Town/City	Cheitenham		Fax number:				
County:	Gloucestershire			L_,,,,			
Country:			Email address:		·····		
Postcode:	GL50 1DY		AndrewJSA@aol.com				
3. Description of the Proposal							
Please describe the proposed development including any change of use:							
4No New Dwellings							
Has the building, work or change of use already started? Yes I No							

21. Site Area							
What is the site area?	00.30	hectares		<u>.</u>			
22. Industrial or Co	mmercial Pro	cesses and Machinery					、
Please describe the activi type of machinery which		which would be carried out on t n site:	he site and the er	d products i	ncluding plant, venti	lation or air conditio	ning. Please include the
N/A							
Is the proposal for a wast	e management de	velopment?	(Yes	€ No	·		
23. Hazardous Sub	stances						
Is any hazardous waste ir	wolved in the prop	iosal? C Yes	(No				
24. Site Visit			·····				
	•						
	•	blic footpath, bridleway or other			(• Yes (
If the planning authority	needs to make an	appointment to carry out a site v	isit, whom should	I they contac	ct? (Please select only	y one)	
(The agent	The applicant	C Other person					
ar Contificator (Co	-tificato A)						
25. Certificates (Ce	rtificate Ay	Certificate	e of Ownership -	Certificate /	A		
т	own and Country	Planning (Development Mana	gement Procedu	re) (England	d) Order 2010 Certif	licate under Article	12
I certify/The applicant certify/The applican	rtifies that on the d old interest with at i	ay 21 days before the date of this east 7 years left to run) of any part	s application nob t of the land to wi	ody except n hich the appl	nyself/the applicant v lication relates, and t	was the owner (own hat none of the land	er is a person with a
relates is, or is part of, an	agricultural holdin	g ("agricultural holding" has the n	neaning given by i	eference to th	he definition of "agricu	ultural tenant" in sect	ion 65(8) of the Act).
Title: Mr	First name: An	drew	<u></u>	Surname:	Smith		
Person role: Agent		Declaration date:	27/11/2014	<u> </u>		Declaration made	}
26. Declaration			<u> </u>	··· ··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\ \		
I/we hereby apply for pla	nning permission/	consent as described in this form	and the accomp	anying plans	/drawings and		
additional information. M	we confirm that, to	the best of my/our knowledge,	any facts stated a	re true and a	accurate and any	57	[
opinions given are the g	enuine opinions of	the person(s) giving them.				🔀 Date	27/11/2014

.

ĺ



Built Environment Cheltenham Borough Council

Municipal offices, Promenade, Cheltenham, GL50 9SA

003998459

builtenvironment@cheltenham.gov.uk phone: 01242 264328 fax: 01242 227323

Application for removal or variation of a condition following grant of planning permission. Town and Country Planning Act 1990. Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990

Publication of applications on planning authority websites.

Please note that the information provided on this application form and in supporting documents may be published on the Authority's website. If you require any further clarification, please contact the Authority's planning department.

1. Applicant Name, Address and Contact Details						
Title: Mr	First name: Rob	Surname:	Deacon			
Company name	Rob Deacon Construction Ltd]				
Street address:	Mirage	J	Country National Extension Code Number Number			
I	Cleeve Hill Road	Telephone number:				
	Southam	Mobile number:				
Town/City	Cheltenham					
County:	Glos	Fax number:				
Country:	United Kingdom	Ernail address:				
Postcode:	GL52 3NU	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Are you an agent ac	cting on behalf of the applicant? (Yes (∩ No				
2. Agent Name	, Address and Contact Details					
Title: Mr	First Name: Andrew	Surname: S	Smith			
Company name:	Smith Hotchen Partnership	L.				
Street address:	8 Berkely Mews 29 High Street	l	Country National Extension Code Number Number			
		Telephone number:	01242245273			
		Mobile number:	07831 188065			
Town/City	Cheltenham	Fax number:				
County:	Gloucestershire	i be i natrinovi i	۱۱ []			
Country:		Email address:				
Postcode:	GL50 1DY	AndrewJSA@aol.com	1			

3. Site Addre	ess Details							
4		dina full postcod	ie where available)		Description:			
	138	1	r	1	[
House:		I	ffix:	J				
House name:		ige Nursing Hom	e					
Street address:	Cirencester Ro	ad						
	Charlton Kings						•	
Town/City:	Cheltenham	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
County:								
Postcode:	GL53 8DS		·]		,	
	L							
	cation or a grid rel eted if postcode is							
Easting:	39625)						
Northing:	22000	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	L				L			
4. Pre-applic	ation Advice		· · · · ·					
•••		sought from the	local authority abo	ut this applicatio	n?	C Yes	No	
	•				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·) (31)		·
5. Description	n of Proposal					·	·	
				_ <u>al</u> a v.a	1	•		
			pment as shown o	n the decision let	.er:			
	ellings on site of fo .odge Nursing Hon		me er Road Charlton Ki	ngs				
Application refer	<u> </u>	14/02133/FU					Date of decisi	on: 26/01/2015
Please state the	condition number	s) to which this a	pplication relates:					
Condition numb	per(s):				•			
2	·		, 		;			
Has the develop	rment already start	ed? (•	Yes 🌔 No	lf Yes, please s	tate when the dev	velopment was started	d:	02/02/2015
Has the develop	ment been comple	ted?	Yes (No			`		
	••••	•		<u></u>	 	. <u> </u>		
6. Condition	(s) - Removal	· · · · ·		· · · · ·				
Please state why	y you wish the com	lition(s) to be ren	noved or changed:					
	e alterations to the					•		
If you wish the e	existing condition t	o be changed, pl	ease state how you	wish the conditio	n to be varied:			
We with to substitute alternate drawings								
7. Site Visit						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Can the site be s	seen from a public	road, public foot	oath, bridleway or o	ther public land?		() Yes ()	No	,
						ct? (Please select only	one)	
	· · ·	-				,	·	
The agent	(The a	дикан (Other person		<u> </u>			
8. Certificate	es (Certificate)	A)				•		
	True	Country Disease			hip - Certificate : cedure) (Englan		cate under Article	12
Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2010 Certificate under Article 12 I certify/The applicant certifies that on the day 21 days before the date of this application nobody except myself/the applicant was the owner (owner is a person with a freehold interest or leasehold interest with at least 7 years left to run) of any part of the land to which the application relates, and that none of the land to which the application								
freehold interest	or leasehold interes	with at least 7 ye	ars left to run) of any	y part of the land	to which the appl	lication relates, and th he definition of "agricul	at none of the land	to which the application
	First nam	r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Surname:	ſ <u></u>		
Title: Mr						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		J
Person role:	Agent		Declaration date:	20/02/2	015		Declaration made	
9. Declaration								
I/we hereby apply for planning permission/consent as described in this form and the accompanying plans/drawings and additional information. I/we confirm that, to the best of my/our knowledge, any facts stated are true and accurate and any								
additional inform	nation. I/we confirm	n that, to the bes	t of my/our knowle	dge, any facts sta	ted are true and a	accurate and any		20/02/2015
opinions given a	re the genuine opi	mous or the berg	and a final final frame				Date	20/02/2015
			-					